

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

CEDRIC JEFFRIES,	)	
	)	CASE NO. 1:22-CV-0047
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
	)	
WARDEN CHARMAIN BRACY,	)	
	)	<b><u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u></b>
	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
Respondent.	)	[Regarding <a href="#">ECF No. 1</a> ]
	)	

On June 6, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation denying Petitioner’s request for habeas relief pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#). The magistrate judge recommended the Court “deny any relief as to Ground One, deny in part and dismiss in part Ground Two, and deny a certificate of appealability as to both grounds.” [ECF No. 6 at PageID #: 1501](#).

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within 14 days after service. In the instant case, objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by June 24, 2024.<sup>1</sup> Neither party has timely filed objections. Any further review by the Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court’s limited resources. [Thomas v. Arn](#), 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff’d*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); [Howard v.](#)

---

<sup>1</sup> Under [Fed. R. Civ. P. 6\(d\)](#), three (3) days must be added to the 14-day time period because Petitioner was served a copy of the Report by mail. See [Thompson v. Chandler](#), 36 Fed. Appx. 783, 784 (6th Cir. 2002). The Court has accounted for those three days, as well as additional time for any mailed objection to reach the Court, before issuing this Order.

(1:22-CV-0047)

*Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge is hereby adopted. Cedric Jeffries’ Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied in part and dismissed in part.

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed R. App. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

June 28, 2024

Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson

Benita Y. Pearson  
United States District Judge